

1842-008 Chancery Causes: Harriott Daughtrey & Seline Daughtrey, by &c vs Mary A.

Isle of Wight County

Daughtrey, admrs of Matthew Daughtrey & Matthew Daughtrey, Junior's
admrs other surnames: Young
Joyner, Daughtrey

- try due: for his life, having regard to quality
 and quantity. That they then divide the
^{and out of it, the same}
 balance, as follows, that is to say, one half of
 one third to Mary & Daughtory or the mother
 of Matthew Daughtory per se: and
 the balance then to be divided equally
 between the complainant Hannick and
 Emeline Daughtory. And the Complainant
 who may act as to make report to this
 Court of their doings and sayings, in order
 to a final award to —

Daughtory infant

" " " " " " " "

Daughtory ad. it.

Nov. Court 1841

Nov. 1st 1841 Docketed
 the Daughtory case & introduced
 as an issue

1842. Daughtory Complainant
 vs. Hannick for Exemption

1842. March 7. p. 547. 24th
 Conf. & final decree

W. H. W. H.

are first subject to the third of the widow
for her life, and the remainder in the
life estate, and the other slaves are
to be distributed as follows to wit,
~~divide the slaves~~ after out of the
down into 3 equal shares, one to each
of the infants, and one to the widow of
the said Matthew Daughtry junior -
and the share of Matthew Daughtry jr. should
be divided, so as to give one fourth to each of
the complainants and one half to the widow of
Mary A Daughtry - she being the mother of
the complainant, half sister of said Matthew -
The slaves are believed to be Ned, Cherry,
Digg, Edia, Sam, Cate, Pharaoh, Rhoda,
Ran and Mary - the said complainants
are half sisters to the said infants,
whose mother is the said Mary - Now
complainants are advised that the said infant
Matthew owes no money, and that there
are no debts of Matthew the elder to render
a sale of the slaves, or any part necessary,
and they pray that the share of the widow
to which she is entitled for her life, may
be set apart, and the balance divided
accord to law, between now orators
and the said Mary A Daughtry, the
mother of the said infant Matthew -
they pray that the said Mary A Daughtry
as administratrix of Matthew Daughtry, and in her
own right and Harrison Towner as an
of said Matthew Daughtry junior may
be made defendant, but and be compelled to
answer the same, & that in view of a division
of said slaves, accord to law &c.
A. Atkins P. G

In the County Court of Wm & Wm in Chy.
sitting - The petition of Mary Daughtry
widow of Matthew Daughtry, and of
said Mary adm. of Matthew Daughtry,
and of Harrison Towner as an adm. of
Mary Daughtry as to the bill of complaint
in said Court exhibited against them
in said Court by Harriet and Emeline
Daughtry infants by Nathl P.
Young their next friends &c.
The defendants for answer say
they believe the allegations in the
complainant's bill are true, and are
willing for the Court to make such
a decree as is proper for, & they
pray leave to be signed &c.

Signed
Harrison Towner
Mary A. Daughtry

Harriet and Emeline Daughtry infants
ship by Nathl P. Young their next friends
Mary A Daughtry adm. of Matthew Daughtry
Mary A Daughtry in her own right, the
said Harrison Towner adm. of Matthew Daughtry
and junior -
This cause came on this day to be heard on
the bill and answer filed, and was argued by
Counsel; on consideration whereof the Court doth
advise, deem and order that Joseph S. Rollins
Jesse Rollins, Jacob B. Duck, Francis Boykin
and George W. Council or any 3 of them, do
set first out apart to Mary Daughtry widow
of Matthew Daughtry the elder said; one fourth
of the slaves of the slaves of Matthew Daughtry

to the County Court of Ark of Mifflinville in
Ch. Kenby complaining - shew to the Court
your ovetures, Harriet Daughtry and
Remeline Daughtry infants by Nathe P.
Young their next friend - that one death
- her Daughtry late of said County died
in said County about October 1840,
intestate, leaving a widow Mary Daugh-
try and two children, your complaint,
and he left a considerable personal
estate, among other things many valuable
slaves, whose names are herein after
mentioned -

That he left his wife pregnant with
a child who was born after the death
of said Mattheus Daughtry and when
born was Mattheus - that the said
child died soon thereafter in said
County intestate under 21 years of age,
indeed under 1 year of age, without
issue and one Harriet Boyner of
Co. Arpton, became a owner of the said
infant child in the County Court of Ark
of Mifflinville - that the children, to wit your
overtures, and said Mattheus the infant,
were entitled each to $\frac{1}{3}$ of the slaves
of the intestate Mattheus the slave (subject
to the widow's share for her life), &
when the said infant died, your complaint
and the said mother Mary became
entitled to the share of said Mattheus
the deceased infant - so that the slaves

Daughleyp Hiss
vs
Daughleyp, admrs

C Deced

At a Court held for the County of Isle of Wight. November 1st 1841
Harriet & Emeline Daughtry Plffs
vs
Daughtry admors. &c. Dft.

In Chancery

This Cause came on this day to be heard on the bill and answers filed, and was argued by Counsel on both sides. Whereof the Court doth adjudge & order that Joseph & William Paschall and Jacob & Duke & Sons & Martin and George W. Brown and any 3 of them do first set apart to Mary Daughtry widow of Matthew Daughtry the Etor. decd. one full third part of the Slaves of Matthew Daughtry decd. for her life, having regard to quality and quantity - that they divide the balance and set apart the same as follows that is to say one half of one third to Mary A. Daughtry as the mother of Matthew Daughtry junior decd; and the balance thereof to be equally divided between the Complainants Harriet, and Emeline Daughtry - And the Commissioners who may act, are to make report to this Court of their doings and doings, and also to a final decree.

Ans \$10-00

Chk 4-00

Copy

Teste

A. P. Bourne &c.

In obedience to the annexed Decree of the county court of Isle of Wight bearing date November court eighteen hundred and forty one and to wit the undersigners directed and which acted. In the first place your commissioners proceeded to value the whole of the Slaves belonging to the Estate of Matthew Daughtry decd. in order for a fair division between the complainants and defendants in said bill as directed in said decree. Therefore we your said commissioners report the valuation of each Negro slave as directed (to wit) Neer valued at \$300. Dey valued at \$25. Cherry D. at \$50. Sealy D. at \$250. Isham Sealy Child at \$175. Mary D. at \$100. Sam D. at \$650. Cate D. at \$450. Pharoah D. at \$400. and Rhoeby at \$300. which all amounted to twenty seven hundred dollars, and nine hundred being one equal third part your said commissioners set apart to Mary A. Daughtry the widow of said Matthew Daughtry decd. and sept. in said suit. Sealy valued at \$250. and Sam valued at \$650. which the two ^{sums} makes the \$900. which is for and during her life having regard to quality as well as quantity. Then your said commissioners proceeded to divide the remainder two thirds into three equal parts and \$600. being one equal third part of the valuation of the remainder of the slaves and being two of them valued at \$300. each your said commissioners placed Neer and Rhoeby in two lots valued as aforesaid at \$300. each. which the plaintiff ^{the mother of Matthew Daughtry decd. &c.} Mary A. Daughtry owns Neer which made one half of one third part after the aforesaid was taken from the whole of the Slaves. And then your commissioners proceeded to divide the remainder two thirds and half third between Harriet and Emeline Daughtry the complainants in said bill as follows. To Harriet Daughtry lot N^o 2 Cate valued at \$400. Mary \$100. Cherry \$50. and Rhoeby at \$300 which amounts to \$850. and \$750 being one half of the amount to divide agreeable to the valuation, the said sept. Harriet will pay to Emeline or lot N^o 1 \$150. and your said commissioners allotted Emeline lot N^o 1 Pharoah valued at \$400. Isham \$175. Dey \$25. and cash from lot N^o 2 \$150. which makes lot N^o 1 \$750. To each of the plaintiffs in said bill. and further your said commissioners report not. Given under our hands this 1st day of January 1842.

Jacob M. Duane
Jos S. Holland
Jason Holland